

MAY 19 2008

Application No. 10/757,700
Response to Office Action dated February 21, 2008

Docket No.: 0630-1926P

REMARKS

Claims 2-5 and 7-22 are pending in the present application. Claim 14 has been amended and claims 18-22 have been added.

35 U.S.C. 112, Second Paragraph, Rejection

Claim 14 has been rejected Under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because there is insufficient antecedent basis for claim 14. Claim 14 has been reviewed and revised as suggested by the Examiner to overcome this rejection. Thus, this rejection should be withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. 103(a) Rejection

Claims 2-5, 7-9, 11, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Traversat et al. in view of Park et al. Claims 10, 12, 13 and 16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Traversat et al. in view of Park et al. and further in view of Rosen et al. These rejections, insofar as they pertain the presently pending claims, are respectfully traversed.

As shown in independent claims 2, 5, 9, 12 and 13, the current invention is directed to a service method and system of a mobile terminal capable of receiving open information stored in a specific mobile terminal and displaying the received open information on a screen of a first mobile terminal. In particular, independent claim 2 recites, among other features, "receiving open information stored in a first mobile terminal and transmitted by the first mobile terminal to a second mobile terminal through a wireless communication network based on a phone number of the first mobile terminal." Independent claims 5, 9, 12 and 13 include similar features in a varying scope.

Traversat et al. teaches a peer-to-peer network that allows communication between devices and exchange of information stored in each device. However, Traversat et al. fails to teach receiving the open information through a wireless communication network based on a phone number of the first mobile terminal. Thus, the Office Action further relies on the features

Application No. 10/757,700
Response to Office Action dated February 21, 2008

Docket No.: 0630-1926P

of Park et al. Park et al. teaches a Voice over Internet protocol (VoIP) services where a user can dial a phone number to call another party through internet. Park et al. further teaches converting a phone number to a domain name and then requesting an IP address corresponding to the domain name. However, Park et al. is directed to VoIP or internet phone features where users can vocally communicate with each other. Park et al. does not teach "receiving open information stored in a first mobile terminal and transmitted by the first mobile terminal to a second mobile terminal." Rather, Park et al. teaches receiving or transmitting voice information, wherein the voice information is not stored in a device, but rather is transmitted instantly as a user speaks on the VoIP phone or the internet phone. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that there is lack of suggestion or motivation to combine Traversat et al. and Park et al.

Further, Rosen et al. fails to overcome these deficiencies of Traversat et al. and Park et al. Rosen et al. was relied on by the Office Action to show receiving information through a CDMA network. However, Rosen et al. fails to teach receiving the open information through the wireless communication network based on the phone number of a mobile terminal.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted independent claims 2, 5, 9, 12 and 13, and each of the claims depending therefrom are allowable.

Claims Added

Claims 18-22 have been added for the Examiner's consideration. Applicants submit that claims 18-22 depend, either directly or indirectly, from independent claims 2, 5, 9, 12 and 13, respectively, and are therefore allowable based on their dependence from claims 2, 5, 9, 12 and 13 which are believed to be allowable.

In addition, claims 18-22 recite further limitations which are not disclosed or made obvious by the applied prior art references. In particular, Park et al. fails to teach or suggest that the open information is received at a request of a user of the second mobile terminal to the first mobile terminal through the wireless communication network based on the phone number of the first mobile terminal. Rather, in Park et al., the voice information is received by the user of the second device after a user of the first device speaks on the first device, but the user of the second

Application No. 10/757,700
Response to Office Action dated February 21, 2008

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER Docket No.: 0630-1926P
MAY 19 2008

device does not request the first device for such information. Therefore, there is no suggestion or motivation to combine Traversat et al. and Park et al. to reject claims 18-22.

Consideration and allowance of claims 18-22 are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone Jun S. Ha, Registration No. 58,508, at (703) 205-8000, in the Washington, D.C. area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: May 19, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By Esther Chong
Esther H. Chong JSH
Registration No.: 40,953
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
8110 Gatchouse Road
Suite 100 East
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000
Attorney for Applicant